

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. DEX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

	_ \					
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,4	79	05	5/08/2001	C. Glen Wensley	2000.34	3796
29494	75	90	06/27/2003			
			ER III, P.C.	EXAMINER		
SUITE	_			WILLS, MONIQUE M		
CHAR	LOTTE,	NC 28	226		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					1746	
					DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	\rightarrow
						\mathcal{O}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
A		09/851,4	179	WENSLEY, C. GLEN					
	Office Action Summary	Examin	r	Art Unit					
		Wills M N	Monique	1746					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address					
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commerced for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stato reply within the set or extended period for reply oly received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. io) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and veryill, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin stutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>08 May 2001</u>							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.	•					
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the prace of Claims								
4)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from co	onsideration.						
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	n Papers			•					
,—	he specification is objected to by the		_						
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction file			oved by the Examiner.					
40\	If approved, corrected drawings are re		office action.	,					
•—	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	i for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)L	All b) Some * c) None of:	·							
•	Certified copies of the priority								
2	2. Certified copies of the priority								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	knowledgment is made of a claim f		•						
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign lar	nguage provisional a	pplication has been rec	eived.					
، ر الله الله Attachment	-	· ·							
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		· ·	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

*Application/Control Number: 09/851,479

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plastic Li-ion (PLiONTM) Rechargeable Cells with Bonded Microporous Separator by Antoni S. Gozdz, in view of Gozdz U.S. Patent 6,579,643 and further in view of Gozdz et al. U.S. Patent 5,418,091.

The Gozdz publication teaches a separator for a lithium polymer battery comprising a standard single-layer polyethylene (PE) or three-layered polypropylene/polyethylene/polypropylene (PP/PE/PP) microporous separator structures (Pg.5, ¶ 3 & Pg. 6, ¶ 1). The membranes are efficient thermal shutdown films having first and second surfaces and a plurality of micropores (Pg. 9, ¶4). The membranes are surface treated with a coating of dibutyl phthalate-plasticized poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) (PVDF-HFP) copolymer. The coating does not affect the separator porosity. See page 6, ¶ 1.

*Application/Control Number: 09/851,479

Art Unit: 1746

Gozdz does not expressly disclose micropores extending from the first to the second surface. The reference is silent to the weight ratios of gel-forming polymer to plasticizer, PVF: HFP weight percents and surface density of the coating material.

Gozdz U.S. Patent '643 teaches that microporous polyolefin separators including three-layer PP/PE/PP structures have pores extending from the first surface to the second surface (Fig. 1 and col. 7, lines 25-45). The pore structure enables the membranes to have higher electrolyte mobility and ionic conductivity between the electrodes (col.2, lines 40-53).

Gozdz U.S. Patent '091 teaches a flexible polymeric film comprising a copolymer of vinylidene fluoride with 8 to 25% hexafluoropropylene, a range in which the comonomer limits the crystallinity of the final copolymer to a degree which ensures good film strength while enabling the retention of about 40 to 60% of a solvent for the electrolyte salt (col. 2, lines 50-60).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the porous structure of Gozdz U.S. Patent '643 in the separator of the Gozdz publication in order to increase electrolyte mobility to enable improved ionic conductivity between the electrodes.

Regarding the weight percents of the coating material, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to employ the PVF: HFP copolymer with 8 to 25% hexafluoropropylene to ensure good film strength while enabling the retention of about 40 to 60% of a solvent for the electrolyte salt, as taught by Gozdz U.S. Patent '091.

*Application/Control Number: 09/851,479

Art Unit: 1746

As to the weight ratios of gel-forming polymer to plasticizer, it would have been obvious to employ the plasticizer in the amount of 30 to 50%, since it has been held that where the general condition of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. The skilled artisan recognizes that the amount of plasticizer directly effects the porosity of the separator material. The porosity must be controlled to achieve the desired ion conductivity between the electrodes, as illustrated by Gozdz U.S. Patent '643.

Regarding the surface density of the coating material, it would be reasonable to expect the surface densities of the Gozdz publication to be commensurate with the subject invention, as the coating films are made from the same materials.

Conclusions

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pendalwar et al. U.S. Patent 5,716,421 teaches a multi-layered gel electrolyte bonded rechargeable electrochemical cell and method of making same. Nakamizo et al. U.S. Pub. No. U.S. 2001/0004502 teaches a nonaqueous secondary battery.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is Application/Control Number: 09/851,479

Art Unit: 1746

(703) 305-0073. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, may be reached at 703-308-4333.

The unofficial fax number is (703) 305-3599. The Official fax number for non-final amendments is 703-872-9310. The Official fax number for after final amendments is 703-872-9311.

Mw

03/19/03

RANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700